Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary09/840,389KIM ET AL.ExaminerArt UnitHarry Vartanian2634	
Examiner Art Unit	
Harry Vartanian 2634	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) <u>Harry Vartanian</u> . (3)	
(2) <u>Michael Musella(Reg# 39,310)</u> . (4)	
Date of Interview: <u>9 December 2004</u> Time: <u>2:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
Claims discussed: 1 and 19. Prior art documents discussed:	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Merits of claim 19 discussed and later resolved.	
Part III.	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of to of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 	he substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appr	opriate)